### STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2003-04

# Milford School District GREGORY A FIRN, Superintendent

Telephone: 203-783-3402



This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c).

### **COMMUNITY DATA**

County: New Haven Public School Enrollment as a Percent of Town Population: 14.1% 2000 Population: 52,305 Public School Enrollment as % of Total Student Population: 85.6% Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000: 12.2%

2000 Per Capita Income: \$28,882 Adult Education Enrollment in 2002-03 School Year: 120

Number of Public Schools: 15 Number of Adults Receiving Diplomas in 2002-03 School Yr.: 22

Number of Nonpublic Schools: 9

Education Reference Group (ERG): F ERG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment.

## **DISTRICT NEED**

Current and Past District Need	Year	District	ERG	State
% of Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	2003-04	13.5	20.9	26.6
	2002-03	13.4	19.5	25.4
% of K-12 Students with Non-English Home	2003-04	5.3	5.7	12.4
Language	1998-99	4.2	4.4	12.3
% of Elementary and Middle School Students above	2003-04	92.0	89.0	88.9
Entry Gr. who Attended this School the Previous Yr.	1998-99	91.2	88.0	86.0
% of Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool,	2003-04	86.0	73.4	76.4
Nursery School, or Headstart	1998-99	81.9	68.4	72.0
% of Juniors and Seniors Working More Than 16	2003-04	28.9	28.5	23.0
Hours Per Week	1998-99	37.3	34.6	31.3

## STUDENT ENROLLMENT AND RACE/ETHNICITY

Enrollment		Race/Ethnicity (Jan.)	Number	Percent
Grade Range	PK-12	American Indian	13	0.2
Total January Enrollment	7,620	Asian American	341	4.5
5-Year Oct. Enrollment Change	3.8%	Black	245	3.2
Projected Oct. 2008 Enrollment		Hispanic	331	4.3
Elementary	3,269	White	6,654	87.3
Middle School	1,870	Other	36	0.5
High School	2,110	Total Minority 2003-04	966	12.7
Prekindergarten, Other	185	Total Minority 1998-99	221	3.0

### EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Connecticut law requires that school districts provide educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds. This may occur through magnet school programs, public school choice programs, charter schools, minority staff recruitment, inter- or intradistrict programs and projects, distance learning, or other experiences. Below is the description submitted by this school district of how it provides such experiences.

The Milford Public Schools has promoted diversity programs that stress understanding of similarities and differences, anti-bullying activities, particularly the training of our staff in the Don't Laugh at Me" program, peer helpers, and advisor/advisee programs and has collaborated with outside agencies such as Bridges (formerly Milford Mental Health Clinic). Milford's Health, Fire and Police Departments to assess and address the needs of our youth. Our students participate in many forms of interdistrict programs, including magnet and charter schools, Regional Vocational Agricultural, Technical and Aquaculture Schools, the Educational Center for the Arts program in New Haven. Twenty-four (24) students from New Haven also attend Milford Schools through Project Choice up from nine (9) in 2000-2001.

This year's "Best of Class" vision ensures that there is an orderly, purposeful atmosphere free from the threat of physical, emotional, intellectual and psychological harm. The school and classroom atmosphere is pleasant and inviting, discipline is effective and students are accountable for good citizenship and the classroom/school is a safe and secure place to be. During the 2003-2004 school year two schools, one middle and the other elementary, will pilot the "Make Your Day" program which encourages students to be responsible for their actions and how they relate to others of diverse racial, ethnic and economic backgrounds.

Over the years the Milford Public Schools have worked to promote respect for others through community conversations and events. In 2001, Milford's Promise Respect Team, a community-based collaborative initiated by the Milford Public Schools, hosted it's first "Respect Star" celebration providing public awareness of individuals who have demonstrated respect in the community. This year over 150 adults and youngsters were honored.

The Milford Schools strive to ensure that all children with a wide range and diversity of needs are given the opportunity to learn in a safe environment.

### **DISTRICT RESOURCES**

Staff Count (Full-Time Equivalent) # of Certified Staff	
Teachers	582.8
Administrators	31.1
Library/Media Staff	14.0
Other Professionals	67.8
% Minority 2003-04	1.7
% Minority 1998-99	1.6
# Non-Certified Instructional	163.6

Average (	Class Size	District	ERG	State
Grade K	2003-04	17.7	18.0	18.7
	1998-99	17.6	18.2	18.6
Grade 2	2003-04	17.6	19.0	19.8
	1998-99	18.0	19.9	20.1
Grade 5	2003-04	20.2	20.8	21.4
	1998-99	22.4	21.3	21.5
Grade 7	2003-04	26.2	22.2	21.6
	1998-99	22.1	22.2	21.7
High	2003-04	18.9	20.6	20.3
School	1998-99	19.8	21.1	19.9

Page 2

Professional Staff Experience and Training	District	ERG	State
Average Number of Years Experience in Connecticut	13.1	14.3	13.5
% with Master's Degree or Above	81.0	77.8	78.6
% Trained as Mentors, Assessors, or Cooperating Teachers	28.4	27.8	26.6

# **DISTRICT RESOURCES, continued**

Total Hours of Instruction Per Yr.*	Dist	ERG	State
Elementary	1,014	993	984
Middle School	1,039	1,038	1,014
High School	942	995	1,000

*State law requires at least 900 hours for gr. 1-12 and full-
day kindergarten, and 450 hours for half-day kindergarten.

Resource Ratios	District	ERG	State
Students Per Academic Computer	3.2	3.7	3.7
Students Per Teacher	13.0	14.0	13.8
Teachers Per	18.7	13.8	14.0
Administrator			

### STUDENT PERFORMANCE









Physical Fitness	District	ERG	State
% Passing All 4 Tests	30.2	32.3	34.6

Connecticut Mastery Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal: The state goal was established with the advice and assistance of a cross section of Connecticut educators. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

	cut Mastery Test, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Gen. ng State Goal	District 2000-01	District 2003-04	ERG 2003-04	State 2003-04
Grade 4	Reading	67	62.0	54.1	54.3
	Writing	72	70.8	66.3	65.8
	Mathematics	74	62.8	57.6	57.6
	All Three Tests	50.4	47.0	40.9	42.3
Grade 6	Reading	68	70.2	64.7	61.9
	Writing	64	69.1	64.1	62.2
	Mathematics	66	72.1	64.2	62.0
	All Three Tests	46.7	54.7	47.0	46.4
Grade 8	Reading	70	75.2	67.4	66.7
	Writing	63	69.8	61.1	61.8
	Mathematics	64	62.4	55.0	56.3
	All Three Tests	47.1	52.5	43.8	45.7
Participat	ion Rate	97.3	98.1	98.0	97.4



The figures above were calculated differently than those reported in the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Report Cards. Unlike NCLB figures, these results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the district.

# STUDENT PERFORMANCE, continued

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Second Generation, % Meeting State Goal: The state Goal was established with the advice and assistance of a cross section of Connecticut educators. Students receive certification of mastery for each area in which they meet or exceed the Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Conn. Academic Performance Test, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Gen. % Grade 10 Meeting State Goal	District 2000-01	District 2003-04	ERG 2003-04	State 2003-04
Reading Across the Disciplines	46	48.9	45.6	48.0
Writing Across the Disciplines	56	64.4	52.5	53.7
Mathematics	50	43.9	45.0	46.1
Science	47	50.8	46.8	47.4
All Four Tests	24.3	26.9	23.4	27.7
Participation Rate	92.3	98.6	98.0	96.9



The figures above were calculated differently than those reported in the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Report Cards. Unlike NCLB figures, these results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the district.

SAT® I: Reasoning Test	Class of 1998	Class of 2003		
	District	District	ERG	State
% of Graduates Tested	82.3	81.5	75.7	76.2
Mathematics: Average Score	480	496	498	508
Mathematics: % Scoring 600 or More	16.1	18.4	18.7	23.8
Verbal: Average Score	489	504	498	504
Verbal: % Scoring 600 or More	14.8	18.4	16.2	21.1

Dropout Rates	District	ERG	State
Cumulative Four-Year Rate for Class of 2003	6.5	8.4	9.5
2002-03 Annual Rate for Grades 9 through 12	2.5	2.0	2.1
1997-98 Annual Rate for Grades 9 through 12	1.8	3.5	3.5

Activities of	Graduates	Class of	# in District	District %	ERG %	State %
*	Pursuing Higher	2003	366	79.6	79.7	80.3
	Education	1998	307	76.6	76.3	76.7
<b>5</b> 14.	Employed or in	2003	78	17.0	16.8	15.7
	Military	1998	72	17.9	19.3	17.8
	Unemployed	2003	1	0.2	1.1	1.1
		1998	6	1.5	1.5	2.0

### **DISTRICT REVENUES/EXPENDITURES 2002-03**

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. ERG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures	Total	Expenditures Per Pupil			
All figures are unaudited.	(in 1000s)	District	PK-12 Districts	ERG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	\$49,520	\$6,639	\$6,046	\$5,801	\$6,036
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$2,809	\$377	\$249	\$243	\$252
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$1,867	\$250	\$386	\$298	\$376
Student Support Services	\$2,439	\$327	\$583	\$552	\$580
Administration and Support Services	\$6,666	\$894	\$1,051	\$998	\$1,061
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$8,194	\$1,099	\$998	\$940	\$992
Transportation	\$2,452	\$304	\$468	\$423	\$470
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$1,905	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$1,046	\$140	\$120	\$124	\$117
Total	\$76,899	\$10,211	\$10,129	\$9,566	\$10,096
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$3,609	\$484	\$1,132	\$626	\$1,177
Adult Education	\$122	\$1,018	N/A	\$968	\$996

**Revenue Sources, % from Source.** Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
With School Construction	81.6	15.9	2.3	0.2
Without School Construction	83.4	13.9	2.4	0.2

Selected Regular Education Expenditures, Amount Per Pupil and Percent Change from Prior Year. Selected regular education expenditures exclude costs of special education and land, building, and debt service.

<b>Expenditures by Grade</b>	District		ERG		State	
Level	Per Pupil	% Change	Per Pupil	% Change	Per Pupil	% Change
Elementary and Middle						
Total	\$8,298	8.2	\$7,812	5.3	\$8,306	3.6
Salaries and Benefits	\$6,872	8.2	\$6,578	6.8	\$6,848	3.9
Supplies	\$362	8.7	\$418	3.0	\$431	1.4
Equipment	\$121	4.3	\$101	-21.1	\$125	-3.8
High School						
Total	\$10,003	1.7	\$8,642	-0.9	\$9,192	3.3
Salaries and Benefits	\$7,908	0.0	\$7,026	-0.1	\$7,406	3.7
Supplies	\$537	7.4	\$507	1.2	\$504	1.8
Equipment	\$203	1.5	\$145	-24.9	\$153	-11.6

### EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

Every year during the process of developing the annual budget, each school receives a per pupil allocation for supplies, books, software, media materials and other instructional items. The total amount of money which each school receives is derived by multiplying the per pupil allocation times the number of students enrolled in the school. The number is adjusted after the official October 1st enrollment numbers are calculated. Principals are also given the opportunity to provide their rationale for expenditures, and they can make allocations within theri per pupil accounts at their discretion.

During the budget process, principals also identify equipment and building project needs, and prioritize them base upon criteria, which includes health, safety and educational issues. These needs are then placed in a priority order district wide with attention given to assuring that every school receives an equitable allocation of building projects and equipment during each fiscal year and from one year to the next.

### EVIDENCE OF SUSTAINED IMPROVEMENTS IN STUDENT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Below is a summary, submitted by this school district, of the major trends in student performance and accomplishments that indicate sustained improvement over time. Also, areas of need are identified and plans to address these needs are presented.

In 2004-2005 Milford grade 4, 6 and 8 students continue to perform above the ERG (Educational Research Group) and state averages in the CMT and CAPT. In reading 62% of 4th grade students scored at levels 4 and 5 (goal and advanced level) vs. 55% at the ERG and 54% at the state. In 6th grade, 70% scored at levels 4 and 5 vs. 65% at ERG and 62% at the state. Grade 8 students scored at 75% at level 4 and 5 vs. 67% at ERG and 67% at the state.

In mathematics 62% of grade 4 students scored at levels 4 or 5 vs. 58% at ERG and state. Grade 6 students scored at 71% vs. 64% in the ERG and 62% at the state. In grade 8, 62% scored at level 4 or 5 vs. 55% in ERG and 56% at the state.

In writing 71% of grade 4 students scored at goal levels 4 and 5 vs. 66% at ERG and state. 69% of grade 6 students scored at goal or above vs. 64% at the ERG and 63% at the state. 70% of grade 8 students scored at goal or above vs. 61% in the ERG and 62% at the state.

The percentage of students at or above goal on CAPT has shown an increase in mathematics, reading and writing. Mathematics increased 1.5%, reading 6.2% and writing 3.9%. SAT results for the 2004 graduating class have made steady progress. Average verbal SAT scores increased 6 points in the 5 year trend as compared to a 2 point growth at the state and national levels for the same 5 year period. The performance of students in the top 10% of the high school class continues to out-perform similar groups nationally.

Recognizing the importance and the need for ongoing improvement in reading and mathematics the district has constructed assessments in critical stance and integrated math applications for grades 3-8. Teachers will use the results of these periodic evaluations to focus instruction and improve student learning. A grades 2-10 program, Reading for Success, uses the principles of small group instruction, frequent evaluation and program adjustment, regular daily sequential instruction and emphasis on independent reading and strategy instruction.

Strategic School Profiles may be viewed on the internet at **www.state.ct.us/sde**. A more detailed, searchable SSP database, data tables, and additional CT education facts are also available at this site.

For the school district website, see www.milforded.org/